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#### Abstract

TThe recent high cost of production that rocks all drink producing firms prompted this study to formulate a linear programming (LP) model and arrive at the optimal production plan for Maidabino Investment Nigeria Limited, katsina State, Nigeria. Exploratory research design was adopted for the study and linear programming technique was applied to primary data collected through interview schedule. The production problem of the firm was formulated as a linear programming model. The result showed that Maidabino Investment Nigeria (MIN)limited should produce 750 ounits of 330 ml yoghurts and 1,080 units of 750 ml of bottle water in order to obtain optimal production cost of one hundred and sixty-five thousand six hundred naira ( $\AA 165,600$ ) given the level of available resources and the content of each product per unit of the raw materials. It was also shown that for each extra ${ }^{\ddagger} 70$ and $\# 120$ spent on treated water and citric acid for production of 75 oml of bottle water and 330 ml of yoghurt, overall production cost would fall by \#1.33 and $\# 25$ respectively. This is because the resources are binding constraints, fully utilized and have non-zero shadow prices. In addition, any attempt to produce a unit of 1000 ml of juice or/and 250 ml of ice-cream would raise production cost by $\# 260$ and $\# 250$ respectively. For these reasons, it is concluded that production of 330 ml yoghurts and 750 ml of bottle give MIN limited a great advantages to survive and grow vigorously in the industry. Therefore, the study recommends that management of MIN limited should give more attention to production of 33 oml of yoghurt and 75 oml of bottle water as the two products give the company optimal production cost.


## Background to the Study

Linear programming model is one of the best techniques of managing scarce resources for optimal production globally particularly during high cost of production, economic crisis and recession. Lenka (2013) opined that global economic crisis makes the business environment unfavourable for industries to survive or manage their resources optimally. For instance, in just a few decades we have witnessed the transition from an industrial nation-based resource oriented economy to a global, networked knowledge intensive economy. Manufacturing in Nigeria cannot be left out of this global connectivity in terms of technology, ideals, policies, techniques and procedure for achieving business effectiveness and efficiency.

Profit maximization and cost minimization are the sole aims of all enterprises. There is no doubt that there are limited resources at the disposal of every organization and as a result of this, managers are faced with decision to choose the best means of managing the scarce resources using linear programming in order to maximize profit. Sohi Lord, Bazardch, Khoshsneed, Mahmoodi, Rasbti-Abadi and Mohammad(2013), added that, linear programming plays an important role in improving management decision and has proven to be capable of solving problem such as production planning, allocation of resources, inventory control and advertisement.

Linear programming (LP) can be profitably applied to MAIDABINO investment that produces multiple products in competitive environment just as Winston and Albright, (2000) and Anderson, Sweeney and Willians (2002) observed that LP can be effectively applied to diverse fields including, transportation, telecommunication, energy, blending and production, airline crew scheduling, network flows. Similarly, as a result of the problem of decision making in scarce resources, it is fundamental that application of linear programming model as one of the powerful tools should be applied to MAIDABINO Investment Nigeria Limited, katsina state (MIN Ltd), Nigeria in order to achieve effective decision in its production planning. MAIDABINO Investment Nigeria Limited, Katsina, Katsina State is a sole proprietorship business located in katsina, Katsina State. Basically, the company was established with the main objectives of producing products such as; yoghurt, juice, ice cream and sachet water. To this end, the company has the production capacity of over 5,000 litres of their products per day. The company was duly registered with the National Agency for Food Drugs Administration and Control in order to ensure quality production.

## Review of Related Literature

Linear programming is not a new phenomenon in allocation of scarce resources and achieving optimum decision among competing activities. Linear programming (LP)according to Miller (2007) is a generalization of linear algebra use in modeling so many real life problems ranging from scheduling of Airline routes to shipping oil from refineries to cities for the purpose of finding inexpensive diet capable of meeting daily requirement. Miller argues that the reason for the great versatility of linear programming is due to ease at which constraints can be incorporated in to the linear programming model.

Many researchers and authors such as: Akinyele (2007), Imam and Hassan (2009), Ezema and Amaken (2012), Balogun, Jolayemi, Akingbade and Muazu (2012), Waheed, Muhammed, Samule, and Adekinde (2012), Joly (2012), Anieting, Ezugwu and Ologun (2013), Sharmeeni, Jeun and Haeryip (2013), Ihegwara, Esemokumo, Opara and Lebechi (2014) have undergone series of works using linear programming in related studies. Most of these authors recognized and demonstrated that linear programming is an important tool and a relevant technique in making appropriate decision and achieving efficiency in production planning and optimization of available scarce resources in order toattain an optimal profit.

Moreover, the results of the research conducted by Haryadi, Megaand Adi (2015) on production planning using de novo programming at Ceramics company in Indonesia revealed that optimal combination of plates to be produced by the company in the period March 2015 are 68.590 units for the plate size 10 inch, 73.914 units of plate 9 inchand 86.365 units of plate size 8 inch, in order to obtain the maximum profit of IDR4.081.582.000.

Akpan and Iwok (2016) in their study of application of linear programming for optimal use of raw materials in bakery reported that Goretta bakery limited should produce the three sizes of bread (big loaf, giant loaf and small loaf) in order to satisfy her customers and more of small loaf and big loaf in order to attain maximum profit. Therefore, since there is dearth of studies on the company under study the researchers find this study imperative to MAIDABINO investment Nigeria Limited, Katsina for optimal production and profitable performance

## Research Methodology

Exploratory research design was adopted for this study. The method is considered appropriate because the study is designed to determine the 330 ml of yoghurt, 1000 ml of juice, 250 ml of ice cream and 750 ml of bottle water MAIDABINO Investment Nigeria Limited should manufacture on daily basis in order to minimize production cost given the quantity of available resource consumed by each product and constraint posed in the production process. The study relied on primary data(production cost per each product; limit of available resources i.e powdered milk, sugar syrup, flavour, treated water, culture-DVS, juice concentrate and citric acid; and percentage of resource consumed by each product) obtained mainly through the use of interview scheduled. LP technique was employed to analyze these data and the production problem was formulated as follow:

Objective Function:
Minimize $\mathrm{Z}=\mathrm{c}_{1} \mathrm{x}_{1}+\mathrm{c}_{2} \mathrm{x}_{2}+\ldots \ldots .+\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}$
Subject to:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{a}_{11} \mathrm{X}_{1}+\mathrm{a}_{12} \mathrm{X}_{2}+\ldots \ldots .+\mathrm{a}_{1 \mathrm{n}} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{n}} \geq \mathrm{r}_{1} \\
& \mathrm{a}_{21} \mathrm{X}_{1}+\mathrm{a}_{22} \mathrm{X}_{2}+\ldots \ldots .+\mathrm{a}_{2 \mathrm{n}} \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}} \geq \mathrm{r}_{2} \\
& \text {.. .. .. } \\
& \text {.. .. .. } \\
& \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{m} 1} \mathrm{X}_{1}+\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{m} 2} \mathrm{X}_{2}+\ldots \ldots .+\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{mn}} \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}} \geq \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{m}} \\
& \mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{X}_{2}, \ldots . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{i}} \geq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

$j=1,2,3 \ldots . n$
$\mathrm{i}=1,2,3 \ldots \mathrm{~m}$
Where:
$\mathrm{Z}=$ objective function that maximized profits
$\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{i}}=$ choice variable (production item) for which the problem is solved
$C_{j}=$ coefficient measuring the contribution of the $j^{\text {th }}$ choice variable to the objective function.
$r_{i}=$ constraint or restrictions placed upon the problem
$\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{ij}}=$ coefficient measuring the effect of the $\mathrm{i}^{\text {th }}$ constraint on the $\mathrm{j}^{\text {th }}$ choice variable.

## Data Presentation and Analysis

The data for the present work paper was collected from MIN limited. The data consist of production cost per each unit size of 330 ml of yoghurt, 1000 ml of juice, 250 ml of ice cream and 750 ml of bottle water and total amount of raw materials (powdered milk, sugar syrup, flavour, treated water, culture-DVS, juice concentrate and citric acid) available for production. The content of each product per unit of the raw material is shown as follows:
a) 330 ml of Yoghurt
0.33 kg of powdered milk
o.1okg of sugar syrup
0.20 kg of flavour
o.28litres of treated water
0.05 kg of culture-DVS
0.04 kg of citric acid
b) 1000 ml of Juice
0.15 kg of sugar syrup
0.25 kg of flavour
o.2olitres of treated water
0.07 kg of culture-DVS
o.3olitres of juice concentrate
0.03 kg of citric acid
c) 250 ml of Ice Cream
0.38 kg of powdered milk
o.1okg of sugar syrup
0.22 kg of flavour
o.3olitres of treated water
d) 750 ml of bottle water
0.75litres of treated water
e) Production cost perunit Product
$\begin{array}{ll}330 \mathrm{ml} \text { of yoghurt } & =\mathrm{A} 120 \\ 1000 \mathrm{ml} \text { of juice } & =\mathrm{A} 350\end{array}$

| 250ml of ice cream | $=\mathrm{N} 250$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 750 ml of bottle water | $=\mathrm{N} 70$ |
|  |  |
| f) Quantity available of raw Materials in Stock |  |
| Powdered milk $=60 \mathrm{~kg}$ |  |

The above data can be summarized in a tabular form
Table 1: Allocation Table

| Products | 330ml <br> Yoghurt | loooml <br> Juice | 250ml Ice <br> cream | 750ml Bottle <br> Water | Limit of <br> Availability |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Solution <br> Variable | $\mathrm{X}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{X}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{X}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{X}_{4}$ |  |
| Powdered Milk | 0.33 | 0.00 | 0.38 | 0.00 | 600 |
| Sugar syrup | 0.10 | 0.15 | 0.10 | 0.00 | 450 |
| Flavour | 0.20 | 0.25 | 0.22 | 0.00 | 150 |
| Treated Water | 0.28 | 0.20 | 0.30 | 0.75 | 810 |
| Culture-DVS | 0.05 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 70 |
| Juice <br> Concentrate | 0.00 | 0.30 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 500 |
| Citric Acid | 0.04 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 30 |
| Cost | 120 | 350 | 250 | 70 |  |

Source: Maidabino Investment Nigeria Limited, Katsina, April2018.

The linear programming model for the above production data is given as:
Minimize $Z=120 x_{1}+350 x_{2}+250 x_{3}+70 X_{4}$
Subject to:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0.33 x_{1}+0.38 x_{3} \geq 600 \\
& 0.10 x_{1}+0.15 x_{2}+0.10 x_{3} \geq 450 \\
& 0.20 x_{1}+0.25 x_{2}+0.22 x_{3} \geq 150 \\
& 0.28 x_{1}+0.20 x_{2}+0.30 x_{3}+0.75 x_{4} \geq 810 \\
& 0.05 x_{1}+0.07 x_{2} \geq 70 \\
& 0.30 x_{2} \geq 500 \\
& 0.04 x_{1}+0.03 x_{2} \geq 30
\end{aligned}
$$

It is apparent from the second and fifth simplex algorithm tableaus in table 3 and 6 (see appendix) that MIN ltd minimizes production cost to seventy-five thousand six hundred naira ( $\ddagger 55,600$ ) and ninety thousand naira ( $\ddagger 90,000$ ) for 750 ml of bottle water and 330 ml of
yoghurts produced respectively. The overall optimal production cost amounting to one hundred and sixty-five thousand six hundred naira ( $\AA 165,600$ ) with production of 1,080 units of 750 ml of bottle water and 75 ounits of 330 ml yoghurts.

## Discussion of Results

Analysis of LP approach on production plan problem in MIN limited revealed duo optimal findings. In the simplex algorithm tableau in table 3, there was an optimal production cost of seventy-five thousand six hundred naira ( $\quad 75,600$ ) for production of 1,080 units of 750 ml of bottle water as there were no negative values in the objective equation of the objective function. The positive values (94.1), (331.8) and (222) under the 330 ml of yoghurt, 1000 ml of juice and 250 ml of ice-cream imply that that if a unit of the products were produced, then the overall production cost would rise by ( $\ddagger 94.1$ ), ( $\ddagger 331.8$ ), and ( $\mathbf{( 2 2 2 )}$ ) for each product respectively. Similarly, treated water has no unused capacity at optimum and has fully utilized the constraint it represents at $\neq 1.33$. However, for each extra $\# 70$ spent on treated water the overall production cost would fall by $\not \mathrm{N}_{1.33 \text {. This is possible because the resource is the only raw }}$ material for bottle water production and a binding constraint that is fully utilized with nonzero shadow price.

On the contrary, this study went further to establish optimal production cost among other products of MIN limited with more than one raw material. Simplex tableau in table 6 (see appendix) showed minimum possible production cost of ninety thousand naira ( ${ }^{( } 90,000$ ) for production of 750 units of 330 ml of yoghurt and for each extra $\# 120$ spent on citric acid for production of 330 ml of yoghurt, overall production cost would fall by $\mathrm{\#}_{\mathrm{N}} 25$. Besides, any attempt to produce a unit of 1000ml of juice or/and 250 ml of ice-cream would raise production cost by N 260 and N 250 respectively.

## Conclusionand Recommendation

This study successfully demonstrates the applicability of the linear programming technique to the production planning problem in MIN limited. The study has given insight into how best production can be effectively planned so that optimal production cost could be realized with available production capacity and resources. The results revealed that using the linear programming model overall production cost could be optimized to one hundred and sixty-five thousand six hundred naira ( $¥ 165,600$ ) with production of 1,080 units of 750 ml of bottle water and 750 units of 330 ml yoghurts. Hence, it is concluded that production of 330 ml of yoghurt and 750 ml of bottle water is essential to MIN limited to always thrive in the market. Therefore, this study recommends that the management of MIN limited should give more attention to production of 330 ml of yoghurt and 750 ml of bottle water as the two products give the company optimal production cost. They should also ensure that the resources available are utilized optimally in order to minimize production cost to the barest.
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## Appendix

## Table 2: FirstSimplex tableau

| Products | 330 ml <br> Yoghurt | $\begin{aligned} & 1000 \\ & \text { ml } \\ & \text { Juice } \end{aligned}$ | 250 ml Ice cream | 750ml <br> Bottle <br> Water | Limi $t$ of Avail abili ty | Surplus Variables |  |  |  |  |  |  | Solution Qty |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Solution Variable | $\mathrm{X}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{X}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{X}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{X}_{4}$ |  | $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{A}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{A}_{3}$ | A4 | $\mathrm{A}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{A}_{6}$ | $\mathrm{A}_{7}$ |  |
| Powdered Milk | 0.33 | 0.00 | 0.38 | 0.00 | 600 | 1 | O | O | O | O | O | O | 0.00 |
| Sugar syrup | 0.10 | 0.15 | 0.10 | 0.00 | 450 | o | 1 | O | o | O | o | O | 0.00 |
| Flavour | 0.20 | 0.25 | 0.22 | 0.00 | 150 | o | o | 1 | o | o | o | o | 0.00 |
| Treated Water | 0.28 | 0.20 | 0.30 | 0.75 | 810 | O | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,080 |
| Culture-DVS | 0.05 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 70 | 0 | O | O | o | 1 | o | 0 | 0.00 |
| Juice <br> Concentrate | 0.00 | 0.30 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 500 | o | o | o | o | o | 1 | o | 0.00 |
| Citric Acid | 0.04 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 30 | O | O | O | O | O | O | 1 | 0.00 |
| Cost | 120 | 350 | 250 | 70 |  | 0 | O | O | O | O | 0 | 0 | O |

Source: Authors' Computation, May 2018.

Table 3: Second Simplex tableau

| Products | 330 ml <br> Yoghurt | 1000ml Juice | 250 ml Ice cream | 750ml <br> Bottle <br> Water | Surplus Variables |  |  |  |  |  |  | Solution Qty |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Solution Variable | $\mathrm{X}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{X}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{X}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{X}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{A}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{A}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{A}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{A}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{A}_{6}$ | $\mathrm{A}_{7}$ |  |
| Powdered Milk | 0.33 | 0.00 | 0.38 | 0.00 | 1 | O | o | 0 | O | O | O | 0.00 |
| Sugar syrup | 0.10 | 0.15 | 0.10 | 0.00 | O | 1 | O | 0 | o | o | o | 0.00 |
| Flavour | 0.20 | 0.25 | 0.22 | 0.00 | o | O | 1 | o | o | o | o | 0.00 |
| 750 ml of bottle water ( $\mathrm{x}_{4}$ ) | 0.37 | 0.26 | 0.40 | 0.10 | O | O | O | 1 | O | O | O | 1,08o |
| Culture -DVS | 0.05 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.00 | O | 0 | O | o | 1 | 0 | O | 0.00 |
| Juice <br> Concentrate | 0.00 | 0.30 | 0.00 | 0.00 | o | O | O | O | O | 1 | O | 0.00 |
| Citric Acid | 0.04 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.00 | o | o | o | o | o | o | 1 | 0.00 |
| Cost | 94.1 | 331.8 | 222 | o | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | (75,600) |

Source:Authors' Computation, May 2018
Table 4: Third Simplex tableau

| Products | 330ml <br> Yoghurt | 1000 ml Juice | 250 ml Ice cream | Limit of Availabili ty | Surplus Variables |  |  |  |  |  |  | Solution Qty |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Solution Variable | $\mathrm{X}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{X}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{X}_{3}$ |  | $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{A}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{A}_{3}$ | A4 | $\mathrm{A}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{A}_{6}$ | $\mathrm{A}_{7}$ |  |
| Powdered Milk | 0.33 | 0.00 | 0.38 | 600 | 1 | O | O | O | O | O | o | 1818.18 |
| Sugar syrup | 0.10 | 0.15 | 0.10 | 450 | 0 | 1 | O | 0 | 0 | O | O | 450 |
| Flavour | 0.20 | 0.25 | 0.22 | 150 | o | o | 1 | o | o | o | o | 750 |
| Treated Water | 0.28 | 0.20 | 0.30 | 810 | o | O | o | 1 | O | o | O | 2892.85 |
| Culture-DVS | 0.05 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 70 | O | O | O | O | 1 | O | O | 1400 |
| Juice Concentrate | 0.00 | 0.30 | 0.00 | 500 | o | o | o | o | o | 1 | o | 0.00 |
| Citric Acid | 0.04 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 30 | 0 | o | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 750 |
| Cost | 120 | 350 | 250 |  | 0 | O | O | 0 | 0 | O | o | O |

Source: Authors' Computation, May 2018

Table 5: Forth Simplex tableau

| Products | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 33oml } \\ & \text { Yoghurt } \end{aligned}$ | 1000 ml Juice | 250 ml Ice cream | Limit of Availabili ty | Surplus Variables |  |  |  |  |  |  | Solution Qty |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Solution Variable | $\mathrm{X}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{X}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{X}_{3}$ |  | $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{A}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{A}_{3}$ | A4 | $\mathrm{A}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{A}_{6}$ | $\mathrm{A}_{7}$ |  |
| Powdered Milk | 0.33 | 0.00 | 0.38 | 600 | 1 | o | o | o | o | o | o | 600 |
| Sugar syrup | 0.10 | 0.15 | 0.10 | 450 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 450 |
| Flavour | 0.20 | 0.25 | 0.22 | 150 | o | O | 1 | O | o | o | o | 150 |
| Treated Water | 0.28 | 0.20 | 0.30 | 810 | o | o | o | 1 | o | 0 | o | 810 |
| Culture -DVS | 0.05 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 70 | o | o | O | o | 1 | O | 0 | 70 |
| Juice Concentrate | 0.00 | 0.30 | 0.00 | 500 | o | o | o | o | o | 1 | o | 500 |
| 330ml Yoghurt | 1 | 0.75 | 0.00 | 750 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 750 |
| Cost | 120 | 350 | 250 |  | O | O | 0 | 0 | 0 | O | O | O |

Source: Authors' Computation, May 2018
Table 6: Fifth Simplex tableau

| Products | 330ml <br> Yoghurt | $\begin{aligned} & 1000 \\ & \text { ml } \\ & \text { Juice } \end{aligned}$ | 250 ml Ice cream | Limit of Availabili ty | Surplus Variables |  |  |  |  |  |  | Solution Qty |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Solution <br> Variable | $\mathbf{X}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{X}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{X}_{3}$ |  | $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{A}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{A}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{A}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{A}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{A}_{6}$ | $\mathrm{A}_{7}$ |  |
| Powdered Milk | 0.00 | -0.2 | 0.38 | 600 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 352.5 |
| Sugar syrup | 0.10 | 0.75 | 0.10 | 450 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 375 |
| Flavour | 0.20 | 0.10 | 0.22 | 150 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Treated Water | 0.28 | -0.01 | 0.30 | 810 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 600 |
| Culture -DVS | 0.05 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 70 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 32.5 |
| Juice <br> Concentrate | 0.00 | 0.30 | 0.00 | 500 | O | O | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 500 |
| 330 ml Yoghurt | 1 | 0.75 | 0.00 | 750 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 750 |
| Cost | 0 | 260 | 250 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -90,000 |

Source: Authors' Computation, May 2018
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