An Industrial Policy for Good Jobs

Dani Rodrik

Professor of International Political Economy, John F. Kennedy School of Government - Harvard University

Article DOI: 10.48028/iiprds/ijarssest.v7.i3.07

Abstract

or decades the service sector has driven the economy in the United States. Is there a role for industrial policy in sustaining this growth? Dani Rodrik (Harvard University) has written a policy proposal that explains how a modern industrial policy framework would create more "good jobs" by improving productivity and labor income growth for service-sector workers. Rodrik defines a good job as one that invests in worker skills, provides workers with a voice, discretion, and autonomy, and gives them responsibility for the quality of service.

Keywords: *Industrial, Policy, Good jobs*

Corresponding Author: Dani Rodrik

First Published: https://www.brookings.edu/research/an-industrial-policy-for-good-jobs/

Background to the Study

A modern industrial policy would need to move beyond the traditional focus on manufacturing and globally competitive industries to the service sector and smaller and medium-sized firms. And the practice of industrial policy will need to rely less on traditional top-down policy instruments such as subsidies and tax incentives for firms and more on collaborative, iterative interaction whereby public agencies supply a portfolio of customized public services in exchange for firms undertaking soft commitments on the quantity and quality of employment.

Rodrik proposes both federal and local initiatives as the components of "An Industrial Policy for Good Jobs." The local approach builds on the existing framework of development and business assistance programs: in this ecosystem the provision of public services (such as business extension services, infrastructure, or customized training) is aligned with a new, more flexible, and contextual model of industrial policy that is better suited to the challenge of creating good jobs in the service sector. The federal initiative is an Advanced Research Projects Agency (ARPA) focused on the promotion of employment-friendly technologies: ARPA-Workers. Starting from the premise that innovations that complement rather than displace workers are feasible yet currently undersupplied, ARPA-W would promote earlystage investments in digital and other technologies that enhance prevailing worker skills and create good jobs.

The Proposal

ARPA-Workers: A key plank of a good-jobs strategy is a national effort to steer technology in a more labor-friendly direction. Rodrik proposes the creation of ARPA-Workers to foster the development of new labor-friendly frontier technologies that complement rather than displace workers who have an intermediate range of skills and education. ARPA-W would prioritize technologies that complement and augment what workers can do, expand the range of tasks they can perform, increase their ability to customize services to specific needs and customer demand, and increase the labor share of value added in production.

Local and Regional Industrial Policies: Rodrik proposes a competitive grant program that allocates funds and promulgates general principles for the operation of local good-jobs programs. In return for public services, firms would be asked to make provisional commitments on specific quantities of good jobs they will create at different qualification levels. In the proposal, Rodrik offers examples of "good jobs" metrics against which the local programs could be measured. Instead of open-ended tax incentives or subsidies, the conduct of industrial policy must then rely on the provision of customized public inputs through collaborative, iterative dialog with firms, and with soft conditionality on employment quantity and quality. This approach helps firms to internalize good-jobs externalities in their employment, training, investment, and technological choices.

What the proposal does not do

The proposal is not sufficient in itself to create an inclusive, good-jobs economy and is not a wholesale substitute for other necessary policies. "An Industrial Policy for Good Jobs" should be supported and complemented by a variety of regulatory, social insurance, and macroeconomic arrangements that increase the bargaining and organizational power of labor in the workplace, provide a robust safety net for workers and their families, and ensure adequate levels of aggregate demand to run a tight labor market. A comprehensive, robust set of industrial policies would also target the climate transition (to promote green technologies), the high-tech and digital economy (to promote general innovation), and the rebuilding of domestic supply chains (to create a more resilient economy). Just as traditional industrial policies cannot be relied on to serve the needs of a good-jobs economy, "An Industrial Policy for Good Jobs" does not address these strategic goals.

The Case of Long-Term Care

Long-term care is a sector where employment could increase rapidly in future years as the population continues to age and demand for in-home or assisted living arrangements increases. Yet there is a shortage of workers, due to low wages and other undesirable characteristics of the job. Long-term care could be a test case for the idea that sectoral policies organized along the lines of industrial policy could work, because greater productivity is ultimately the most reliable source of better jobs.

Making long-term care jobs "good jobs" entails a fulsome strategy and requires metrics against which to measure success. An important component of such a strategy would be the introduction of new technologies that complement caregivers' skills, such as digital tools that enable caregivers to collect real-time information and to respond quickly and efficiently to the needs of people in their care. These changes would require an investment in R&D, a willingness to experiment with novel work practices and new technologies, and the encouragement of on-the-ground adoption through adaptation and contextualization to local needs. If long-term care jobs were to develop in this manner, realized productivity gains would transform these jobs into good jobs.

Reference

https://www.brookings.edu/research/an-industrial-policy-for-good-jobs/