

#### APPRAISAL OF MAINTENANCE MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS FOR RESIDENTIAL QUARTERS IN KADUNA POLYTECHNIC

<sup>1</sup>Ikupolati, A. O, <sup>2</sup>Komolafe, A. & <sup>3</sup>Dawam, J. D. 1Department of Quantity Surveying, Kaduna Polytechnic, Kaduna 2&3Department of Building, Kaduna Polytechnic, Kaduna

#### Abstract

Maintenance is generally defined by BS4811 "as the combination of all technical and associated administrative actions intended to retain an item in or restores it to a state in which it can continue to perform its functions". The maintenance of a built environment affects everyone for it is the state of our homes, offices and factories that depend not only for our comfort but for economic and structural survival. This study seeks to appraise the maintenance management systems of residential quarters in Kaduna Polytechnic. To guide the study, two objectives and research hypotheses were formulated. A review of the existing practices was carried out and the factors responsible for maintenance management systems in the residential quarters identified. Survey research design was adopted for data collection for the study. The population comprised of all the 385 staff residence in eight locations of Kaduna Polytechnic Quarters. The proportionate stratified random sampling technique was used to constitute 100 respondents out of the population. Data, collected was then analysed using one way Anova and Post Hoc test to establish findings. The findings have shown that Kaduna Polytechnic do not have an organised maintenance management systems and the absence of adequate maintenance for its properties. The study recommends that Kaduna Polytechnic authority should adopt the planned preventive maintenance Management Systems for use in Kaduna Polytechnic.

Keywords: Building maintenance, Maintenance, Maintenance culture and Maintenance management.

Background to the Study

Lately, when the economy suffered a severe setback and new buildings are rare, dilapidated and derelict buildings have become common sight in the country, owners have had to embark on the development of a maintenance culture that will ensure the

ISSN Print: 2315-8425, Online 2354-1660

<sup>©</sup> www.internationalpolicybrief.org/Journals/edu-science-journal-vol,4No.1 ESJPRCD: 014:2:4

preservation of the existing building. This backward integration as it is often referred to, increased public and private sector awareness on the maintenance of the existing building stock as opposed to the erection of new ones. According to Miles and Sugya, (2007), the maintenance of a built environment affects everyone for the state of our homes, offices and factories that depend not only for our comfort but for economic and structural survival. Building maintenance is accorded little or no merit and a neglected field of technology. A casual stroll around a city, town or village in almost any developing country suggest that many important national capital assets such as school buildings, roads, residential houses and apartments, hospitals and civil buildings are run-down beyond the point of economic repair. Why should this be, when the building maintenance is known to be among the labour intensive of construction activities? A complete answer is yet to be found but it seems that their main failure is at the root of most of the problems. Maintenance work is considered waste of money and time by most property owners, funds are usually directed towards new buildings rather than the upkeep of existing ones. Maintenance management is an orderly and systematic approach to planning, Organizing, Monitoring and Evaluating maintenance activities and their costs. A good maintenance management system coupled with knowledgeable and capable maintenance staff can prevent health and safety problems, environmental damage, and yield longer asset life with fewer breakdown and result in lower operating costs and a higher quality of life.

#### Statement of the Problem

Within the 70's during the oil boom era, the construction industry was relatively busy. During this period the economic implication of embarking on most capital projects were given less thought because money was in good supply. Maintenance has therefore until recently been a much neglected sector of the construction Industry and because of its non-glamorous nature, it never get the attention it deserves Maintenance/renovation works according to Oyediran and Odusanmi (2004), account for less than 20% of the total workload of the construction industry in Nigeria. This neglect has manifested in the sorry state of most infrastructure in both public and private sectors. Thus, this study seeks to appraise the Maintenance Management systems for residential quarters in Kaduna Polytechnic.

# Main Objective

The main objective of this study is to apprise the maintenance management systems used in residential quarters of Kaduna Polytechnic.

# Specific Objectives

- 1. Examine the present Maintenance Management system used by the authority of Kaduna Polytechnic.
- 2. Identify factors responsible for maintenance management systems in the residential quarters of Kaduna Polytechnic.

#### **Research Hypotheses**

Ho: The present Maintenance Management Systems used by the authority of Kaduna polytechnic does not differ significantly.

Ho: Factors responsible for Maintenance Management Systems in the residential quarters of Kaduna Polytechnic do not differ significantly.

#### The Importance of Building Maintenance

The condition and quality of buildings is one of the most fundamental components of the quality of life. The vast majority of people spend over 95% of their time in or next to a building of one kind or another, so in this sense, the built environment has become our "natural" environment.

BS3811 defines maintenance as a combination of any action carried out to retain an item in or restore it to, an acceptable condition. The actions referred to are those associated with initiation, organization and implementation. There are two processes envisaged, 'retaining', i.e., work carried out in anticipation of failure, and 'restoring' i.e. work carried out after failure. The former is usually referred to as 'preventive maintenance' and the later as 'corrective maintenance'

## Types of maintenance

## Planned preventive maintenance

This is maintenance carried out at pre-determined intervals or to other prescribed criteria and intended to reduce the likelihood of an item not meeting an acceptable condition, for example, regular cleaning to drainage systems to prevent blockages.

# Planned corrective Maintenance

This is maintenance carried out to restore an existing facility to an acceptable standard. This is executed when failure of a facility has already occurred, for example, replacing failed florescent bulb.

# Unplanned Maintenance

This is maintenance carried out without planning. This would generally be corrective in nature, would include emergency work and would be in tandem with planned maintenance.

# Primary causes of Deterioration

Faulty design: Good design need to

- a. Take account of its sources of maintenance
- b. Provide suitable protection of prime materials by adequate detailing
- c. Consider location and orientation of the building with regard to area and weather conditions.
- $d. \qquad Consider \, standard \, of \, work manship \, which \, can \, be \, achieved \, on \, site$
- e. Have adequate knowledge of nature of materials.

Faulty execution: Usually due to

- a. Bad workmanship quality of work being reduced by use of materials incorrectly.
- b. Inadequate Supervision. Number and /or quality of supervisors inadequate.

Faulty materials: Materials which are unable to fulfill required functions due to

- a. Defects brought about by manufacture, transportation, handling
- b. Incorrect choice of location regarding conditions and usage.
- c. Incompatibility of certain materials in certain situations.

Vandalism: caused by intentional damage and disfigurement resulting in:

- a. Natural life of material or component being reduced
- b. Reduce aesthetics of building.
- c. Increase cleaning requirements.
- d. Increase Maintenance costs.

**Research Framework** 

The primary variable of interest of the study is the dependent variable of Maintenance Management Systems which is measured by the functions and the upkeep of the existing residential buildings in Kaduna Polytechnic. The Independent variables that may influence the dependent variable are Present Maintenance Management Systems and the factors responsible for maintenance management systems of residential quarters of Kaduna Polytechnic. The relationship between the dependent and independent variable are shown in figure 1 Independent Variables

Influence Dependent Variable Influence

Figure 1: Conceptual frameworks

# Research Method

Descriptive survey design was adopted for this study. This design was chosen due to the fact that the opinion of occupant respondents were sought for and this design has been found to be suitable. The area of study is the residential quarters of Kaduna Polytechnic covering all the locations namely: Panteka, Crescent road, Express bye pass, Ungwan Rimi, Main Campus, Keffi Road, Zamfara estate and Barnawa residential quarters.

The proportionate stratified random sampling technique was adopted to determine the sample of the study. 100 occupants which represented 26% of the total occupants of 385 housing units were used as the accessible population. The research questionnaire was divided into two main sections organized according to the sequence of the research hypothesis that guided this study. The questionnaire was designed mainly based on likert scale of four ordinal measure from 1-4 according to the level of importance. To establish the validity of the instrument, the questionnaire was subjected to both face and content validation by three experts: two professionals in the building industry and one research expert. The corrections made by these experts were used in improving the content of the instrument. The reliability of the instrument was tested with test-retest reliability method. A pilot study was conducted in NNPC residential quarters. After a period of two weeks the same questionnaire was re-administered to the same respondents. Data collected was analysed using spearman Brown formula to establish the coefficient of stability of instruments. The coefficient of 0.90 was obtained which indicates a positive correlation of the instruments. The researchers administered the instrument for data collection personally. The questionnaire was administered to the sampled occupants of residential quarters of Kaduna Polytechnic.

Data Analysis

One way Anova

Ho: Present Maintenance Management System used by the authority of Kaduna Polytechnic does not differ significantly.

Where  $\mu 1 = \mu 2 = \mu 3 = \mu 4 = \mu 5$ 

 $\mu 1$  represents planned preventive maintenance.

 $\mu 2\,represents\,planned\,corrective\,maintenance$ 

µ3 represents unplanned maintenance

 $\mu 4\,represents\,direct\,labour\,system$ 

 $\mu 5$  represents contract system.

= level of significance is 0.05

		Descri	otive					
				E MANA	GEMENT	SYSTEM		
	Ν	Mean	Std. Deviatio	Std. Error	95% Co	nfidence for Mean	Minimu m	Maximum
			n		Lower Bound	Upper Bound	-	
PLANNED PREVENTIVE MAINTENA NCE	4	75.0000	101.5513 0	50.77565	-86.5908	236.5908	12.00	225.00
PLANNED CORRECTIV E MAINTENA NCE	4	25.0000	17.68238	8.84119	-3.1366	53.1366	12.00	50.00
UNPLANNE D MAINTENA NCE	4	25.0000	17.68238	8.84119	-3.1366	53.1366	12.00	50.00
DIRECT LABOUR SYSTEM	4	25.0000	17.68238	8.84119	-3.1366	53.1366	12.00	50.00
CONTRACT SYSTEM	4	25.0000	17.68238	8.84119	-3.1366	53.1366	12.00	50.00
Total	20	35.0000	47.40087	10.59916	12.8157	57.1843	12.00	225.00

Test of Homogeneity of Variances							
MAINTENANCE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM							
Level Statistic	Level Statistic df1 df2 Sig.						
4.837 4 15 .010							

ANOVA								
MAINTENAN	NCE MANAC	EM	ENT SYSTE	СM				
	Sum	of	Df M	ean	F	S	ig.	
	Squares		Sq	uare			_	
Between Grou	ips 8000.000		4 20	00.000	.865	. !	507	
Within Group	s 34690.000	)	15 23	12.667				
Total	42690.000	)	19					
Contrast Coef	ficients				-			
Contrast ITEN	ΛS							
PLA	NNED	PLA	NNED	UNPLAN	NED	DIRECT	LABOUR	CONTRACT
PRE	VENTIVE	COF	RECTIVE	MAINTE	NANCE	SYSTEM		SYSTEM
MAI	NTENANCE	MAI	INTENANC	ļ,				
		E						
1 -1		-1		-1		-1		4

Contrast Tests								
		Contras t		Std. Error	t		Sig. tailed)	(2-
MAINTENANCE	Assume equal variances	1	-50.0000	107.5329 4	-0.465	15	.649	
MANAGEMENT SYSTEM	Does not assume equal variances	1	-50.0000	63.74428	-0.784	6.019	.463	

POST HOC T	EST						
Multiple Con	Multiple Comparisons						
Dependent V	ariable: MAINTENANCE M	ANAGEMEN	T SYSTEN	ЛS			
Schedule							
(I) ITEMS	(J) ITEMS	Mean	Std.	Sig.	95% Cor	nfidence	
		Difference (I	- Error		Inte	rval	
		J)			Lower	Upper	
					Bound	Bound	
	PLANNED CORRECTIVE	50.00000	34.00490	.708	-68.8824	168.8824	
PLANNED	MAINTENANCE	50.00000	34.00490	.708	-00.0024	100.0024	
PREVENTIVE	UNPLANNED	50.00000	24.00400	700	00 000 4	100 0004	
MAINTENA	MAINTENANCE	50.00000	34.00490	.708	-68.8824	168.8824	
NCE	DIRECT LABOUR SYSTEM	50.00000	34.00490	.708	-68.8824	168.8824	
	CONTRACT SYSTEM	50.00000	34.00490	.708	-68.8824	168.8824	
	PLANNED PREVENTIVE	50.00000	04.00400	700	100 000 1	00.0004	
PLANNED	MAINTENANCE	-50.00000	34.00490	.708	-168.8824	68.8824	
CORRECTIVE	UNPLANNED	00000	24 00 400	1 000	110 0094	110 0094	
MAINTENA	MAINTENANCE	.00000	34.00490	1.000	-118.8824	118.8824	
NCE	DIRECT LABOUR SYSTEM	.00000	34.00490	1.000	-118.8824	118.8824	
	CONTRACT SYSTEM	.00000	34.00490	1.000	-118.8824	118.8824	
	PLANNED PREVENTIVE	-50.00000	34.00490	.708	-168.8824	68.8824	
UNPLANNE	MAINTENANCE	-30.00000	34.00490	.708	-100.0024	00.0024	
D	PLANNED CORRECTIVE	.00000	34.00490	1.000	-118.8824	118.8824	
MAINTENA	MAINTENANCE	.00000	34.00490	1.000	-110.0024	110.0024	
NCE	DIRECT LABOUR SYSTEM	.00000	34.00490	1.000	-118.8824	118.8824	
	CONTRACT SYSTEM	.00000	34.00490	1.000	-118.8824	118.8824	
DIRECT	PLANNED PREVENTIVE	-50.00000	34.00490	.708	-168.8824	68.8824	
LABOUR	MAINTENANCE	-50.00000	34.00490	.708	-108.8824	08.8824	
SYSTEM	PLANNED CORRECTIVE	00000	24 00 400	1 000	110 0094	110 0094	
	MAINTENANCE	.00000	34.00490	1.000	-118.8824	118.8824	
	UNPLANNED	.00000	34.00490	1.000	118.8824	118.8824	

HOMOGENEOUS SUBSET						
MAINTENANCE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM						
Schedule						
ITEMS	Ν	Subset for alpha = 0.05				
		1				
PLANNED CORRECTIVE MAINTENANCE	4	25.0000				
UNPLANNED MAINTENANCE	4	25.0000				
DIRECT LABOUR SYSTEM	4	25.0000				
CONTRACT SYSTEM	4	25.0000				
PLANNED PREVENTIVE MAINTENANCE	4	75.0000				
Sig708						
Means for groups in homogeneous subsets are	display	ed.				
a. Uses Harmonic Mean Sample Size = 4.000.						

One-way Anova

Ho: Factors responsible for Maintenance Management Systems in the Residential Quarters of Kaduna Polytechnic does not differ significantly

Where  $\mu 1 = \mu 2 = \mu 3 = \mu 4 = \mu 5$   $\mu 1$  represents faulty design  $\mu 2$  represents construction method  $\mu 3$  represents occupant's activities  $\mu 4$  represents quality of material used  $\mu 5$  represents inadequate maintenance = level of significance is 0.05

Descriptive	arbr 5	DOD				(mm) (0			
REASONS RESPON	SIBLE	-		1					
		Ν	Mean	Std. Deviati	ion Std.	95% Confidence		Minimu	Maximum
					Error Ir		Interval for Mean		
						Lower	Upper		
						Bound	Bound		
FAULTY DESIGN		4	25.0000	12.90994	6.45497	4.4574	45.5426	10.00	40.00
CONSTRUCTION METHOD		4	25.0000	12.90994	6.45497	4.4574	45.5426	10.00	40.00
OCCUPANT									
ACTIVITIES		4	25.0000	12.90994	6.45497	4.4574	45.5426	10.00	40.00
QUALITY MATERIALS USED	OF	4	25.0000	12.90994	6.45497	4.4574	45.5426	10.00	40.00
INADEQUATE MAINTENANCE		4	25.0000	12.90994	6.45497	4.4574	45.5426	10.00	40.00
Total		20	25.0000	11.47079	2.56495	19.6315	30.3685	10.00	40.00
Test of Homogeneity REASONS RESPON Level Statistic df1				ICE MANAC Sig.	EMENT SY	STEMS			
.000 4			15	1.000					
1			10	1.000					
ANOVA									
REASONS RESPON	SIBLE	FOR N	AINTENAN	ICE MANAG	EMENT SY	STEMS			
	Sum o	f Squar	res D	f	Mean Squa	re F	Sig.		
	000		4		.000	.000	1.000		
	2500.00	)0	1	5	166.667				
	2500.00	)0	1	9					
Contrast Coefficients	5								
Contrast ITEMS									
FAULTY	C	ONSTR	RUCTIO OC	CUPANT Ç	UALITY	OFIN	IADEQU	ATE	

 FAULTY
 CONSTRUCTIO
 OCCUPANT
 QUALITY
 OFINADEQUATE

 DESIGN
 N METHOD
 ACTIVITIES
 MATERIALS USED
 MAINTENANCE

 -1
 -1
 -1
 -1
 4

Contrast Tests							
		Contras t	Value of Contrast	Std. Error	t		Sig. (2 tailed)
REASONS RESPONSIBLE FOR	Assume equal variances	1	.0000	28.86751	.000	15	1.000
MAINTENANCE	Does not assume equal variances	1	.0000	28.86751	.000	4.615	1.000

Post Hoc Tests

Multiple Comparisons

Dependent Vari Schedule		ESPONSIBLE	FOR MAIN	ITENAN	JCE MANAO	GEMENT SYSTEMS
(I) ITEMS	(J) ITEMS	Mean Difference (I- J)	Std. Error	Sig.	95 Lower Bound	% Confidence Inter Upper Bound
	CONSTRUCTIO N METHOD	.00000	9.12871	1.000	-31.9143	31.9143
	OCCUPANT ACTIVITIES	.00000	9.12871	1.000	-31.9143	31.9143
FAULTY DESIGN	QUALITY OF MATERIALS USED	.00000	9.12871	1.000	-31.9143	31.9143
	INADEQUATE MAINTENANC E	.00000	9.12871	1.000	-31.9143	31.9143
	FAULTY DESIGN	.00000	9.12871	1.000	-31.9143	31.9143
	OCCUPANT ACTIVITIES	.00000	9.12871	1.000	-31.9143	31.9143
CONSTRUCTI ON METHOD	QUALITY OF MATERIALS USED	.00000	9.12871	1.000	-31.9143	31.9143
	INADEQUATE MAINTENANC E	.00000	9.12871	1.000	-31.9143	31.9143
	FAULTY DESIGN	.00000	9.12871	1.000	-31.9143	31.9143
	CONSTRUCTIO N METHOD	.00000	9.12871	1.000	-31.9143	31.9143
ACTIVITIES	QUALITY OF MATERIALS USED	.00000	9.12871	1.000	-31.9143	31.9143
	INADEQUATE MAINTENANC E	.00000	9.12871	1.000	-31.9143	31.9143

	FAULTY DESIGN	.00000	9.12871	1.000	-31.9143	31.9143
QUALITY OF	CONSTRUCTIO N METHOD	.00000	9.12871	1.000	-31.9143	31.9143
MATERIALS USED	OCCUPANT ACTIVITIES	.00000	9.12871	1.000	-31.9143	31.9143
	INADEQUATE MAINTENANC E	.00000	9.12871	1.000	-31.9143	31.9143
	FAULTY DESIGN	.00000	9.12871	1.000	-31.9143	31.9143
INADEQUATE MAINTENAN CE	CONSTRUCTIO N METHOD	.00000	9.12871	1.000	-31.9143	31.9143
	OCCUPANT ACTIVITIES	.00000	9.12871	1.000	-31.9143	31.9143
	QUALITY OF MATERIALS USED	.00000	9.12871	1.000	-31.9143	31.9143

#### Homogeneous Subsets

MAI	NTENANCE MANAGEMENT
Ν	Subset for alpha = 0.05
	1
4	25.0000
4	25.0000
4	25.0000
4	25.0000
4	25.0000
	1.000
sets are	displayed.
4.000.	
	N 4 4 4 4 4 4

Major Findings

- 1. For research hypothesis 1 P>0.05, value of p is 0.507, therefore Ho is not rejected this means that all the Maintenance Management Systems are in use by the authority of Kaduna Polytechnic.
- 2. For research hypothesis 2 P>0.05, value of P is 1.000 therefore Ho is not rejected. This means that all the factors identified are responsible for Maintenance Management Systems in the residential quarters of Kaduna Polytechnic.

#### Discussion of findings

The discussion of findings of this study were based on research hypotheses as stated below

## Research Hypothesis 1

Ho: Present Maintenance Management systems used by the authority of Kaduna Polytechnic do not differ significantly. Using One-way Anova, the study reveals that all the maintenance Management Systems are presently used by the authority of Kaduna Polytechnic with the planned preventive maintenance been the most frequently used with a mean of 75. This result was further confirmed using the post hoc test that also revealed planned preventive maintenance with a mean of 75 and the P value of 0.708 i.e. P>0.05

## Research Hypothesis 2

Ho: Factors responsible for Maintenance Management Systems in the residential quarters of Kaduna Polytechnic do not differ significantly.

Using one-way Anova, the study reveals that all the factors responsible contributed equally to the Maintenance Management Systems of the residential quarters of Kaduna Polytechnic. However, faulty design, construction method and quality of material s used are supposed to be taking care of during construction. Therefore, only occupant activities and inadequate maintenance will be of use in this research. This result was further confirmed using the Post Hoc test that also revealed equal contribution of mean 25 and the P-value of 1.000 i.e. P>0.05.

# Conclusions

It is desirable to erect buildings to provide shelter and other centres of human activities for the need of the staff of Kaduna Polytechnic so as to ease the accommodation problems encountered. It is also expected that maintenance of these accommodation units be done with vigor used in erecting the buildings. The imperative for Maintenance Management Systems for Kaduna Polytechnic cannot be over-emphasized particularly in the areas of functionality and the upkeep of these buildings become of the inherent benefits economically and socially to the status of the staff of Kaduna Polytechnic among the citizenry of the nation at large.

# Recommendations

Based on the findings of this study and subsequent discussions the following recommendations are proffered.

- 1 Kaduna Polytechnic authority should adopt the use of Planned Preventive Maintenance Management Systems so as to always retain the buildings in an acceptable condition.
- 2 There should be adequate awareness from the Management of the Polytechnic to the occupants on how to use and report any damage or repair needed to the appropriate authority.

3 The authority should endeavor to carry out adequate Maintenance on all the Polytechnic properties especially the residential quarters.

#### References

- Lee R. (1999) "Building Maintenance Management" 2nd Edition, Blackie & Sons ltd. Glasgow p11.
- Opara F. E. (2011). "The Role of Materials Manufacture in Quality Assurance". A paper presented at Nigerian Institute of Building, 31st Annual General Meeting/Conference. Port Harcourt P7.
- Oyediran O. S. & Odusanmi, K. T. (2004) "Measurement and Estimation of Maintenance and Alteration Related Works". Building Magazine Vol IX no 2 Lagos. Pp 11-12
- Seeley, I. H (1997) "Building Maintenance" McMillian Publishers Ltd. London. P5.
- Son, L. H. & Yen, G. C. S. (1993) "Building Maintenance Technology" McMillian Press Ltd. London. P9.
- Stone, P. A. (1999) "Econometric Criteria & Building Decisions" In Stone, P.A. (Ed). Value in Building. Applied Science Publishers Ltd. P25.