

## Demonstration of Risk Minimization in FX Hedge Trading: A Case Study of Selected Trading Journals

<sup>1</sup>Abubakar Muhammad,

<sup>2</sup>Zainab Aliyu Musa,

<sup>3</sup>Adonu Sunday Ejiyime

& <sup>4</sup>Atika Ahmed Jibrin

<sup>1,2,3&4</sup>Department of Computer  
Science, Federal Polytechnic,  
Bauchi (Nigeria)

**Article DOI:**

10.48028/iiprds/ijedesr.v9.i1.12

### Abstract

Foreign exchange (FX) markets are inherently volatile due to macroeconomic instability, geopolitical developments, interest rate differentials, and speculative activity. Hedging strategies are widely employed to minimize exposure to currency risk. This study demonstrates risk minimization techniques in FX hedge trading using a case study analysis of selected trading journals. By examining structured trade logs documenting entry strategy, hedge positioning, risk-to-reward ratios, and outcome metrics, the study evaluates the effectiveness of hedge-based trading in reducing drawdown and portfolio volatility. Findings indicate that structured hedge application significantly reduces maximum drawdown and stabilizes equity curves when compared to unhedged directional exposure. The study contributes to practical risk management literature by providing demonstrative evidence of journal-based performance evaluation in FX hedge trading.

### Keywords:

FX trading,  
Hedging, risk  
Minimization,  
Trading journals,  
Drawdown control,  
Risk management

*Corresponding Author:*

Abubakar Muhammad

## **Background to the Study**

The foreign exchange (FX) market is the largest and most liquid financial market globally. However, its volatility exposes traders and institutions to substantial risk. Risk minimization is therefore central to sustainable FX trading. Among various risk management techniques, hedging remains a widely adopted strategy to mitigate potential losses arising from adverse currency movements. This study demonstrates how FX hedge trading reduces risk exposure using structured data derived from selected trading journals. Rather than relying solely on theoretical modeling, the paper utilizes empirical trade records to illustrate measurable reductions in volatility and drawdown.

## **Statement of the Problem**

Hedge trading has voluminous literatures showing its ability to minimize various types of risk. Theoretically, FX Hedge has several advantages over unhedged trading, to go beyond the theory is what this paper is attempting to illustrate. What practical wisdom can be seen in hedged trade over unhedged trade.

## **Aim and Objective**

The research aims to:

1. Demonstrate the application of hedge strategies in FX trading.
2. Compare performance metrics between hedged and unhedged trades.

Evaluate the role of structured trading journals in risk assessment.

## **Literature Review**

### **FX Market Risk**

FX market risk arises from exchange rate fluctuations influenced by macroeconomic indicators, monetary policy decisions, and geopolitical factors. Previous studies highlight volatility clustering and leverage effects as key contributors to currency risk (Engle, 1982; Bollerslev, 1986).

### **Hedging in Currency Markets**

Hedging involves taking offsetting positions to reduce risk exposure. Common FX hedging strategies include:

- i. Direct hedging (opening opposite positions in same pair)
- ii. Cross hedging (correlated currency pairs)
- iii. Options-based hedging
- iv. Portfolio diversification

Theoretically, hedging reduces downside exposure but may limit upside gains (Hull, 2018).

### **Trading Journals and Performance Evaluation**

Trading journals systematically record:

- i. Entry/exit price
- ii. Position size
- iii. Stop-loss/take-profit

- iv. Risk-to-reward ratio
- v. Trade rationale
- vi. Outcome

Journals enhance trader discipline and enable quantitative performance evaluation, including metrics such as:

- i. Maximum drawdown
- ii. Sharpe ratio
- iii. Win-loss ratio
- iv. Risk-adjusted return

However, empirical demonstrations linking journal analysis to hedge effectiveness remain limited, motivating this study.

## Methodology

### Research Design

This study adopts a case study approach using selected FX trading journals documenting both hedged and unhedged trades over a defined trading period.

### Data Source

The data consists of structured trading logs including:

- i. Currency pairs traded (e.g., EUR/USD, GBP/USD, USD/JPY)
- ii. Entry and exit prices
- iii. Hedge positions
- iv. Stop-loss levels
- v. Profit/loss results
- vi. Account equity changes

### Risk Measurement Metrics

Risk minimization is assessed using:

1. Maximum Drawdown (MDD)

$$MDD = \frac{Peak - Trough}{Peak}$$

2. Risk-to-Reward Ratio (RRR)
2. Volatility of Returns
3. Equity Curve Stability

### Comparative Framework

Two trade categories were analyzed:

- i. Category A: Unhedged directional trades
- ii. Category B: Hedged trades (direct or correlated hedge)

Performance and risk indicators were computed and compared.

## Results

### Drawdown Analysis

Hedged trades demonstrated significantly lower maximum drawdown compared to unhedged trades. While unhedged trades exhibited sharp equity declines during adverse market moves, hedged positions stabilized losses through offsetting gains.

### Volatility Reduction

Standard deviation of returns in hedged trades was lower than in unhedged positions, indicating reduced volatility exposure.

### Risk-to-Reward Consistency

Trading journals revealed that hedged trades maintained more consistent risk-to-reward ratios due to structured stop-loss and counter-position strategies.

### Equity Curve Stability

The equity curve of hedged trading showed smoother progression with fewer extreme fluctuations, supporting risk minimization claims.

**Table 1:** Hedged and Unhedged trading of EUR/USD:

Scenario	Total P&L	Max Drawdown	Volatility (Daily)
Unhedged	+\$8,750	-\$3,250 (-0.65%)	\$1,245
Hedged (Actual)	+\$3,732	-\$650 (-0.13%)	\$487
Difference	-\$5,018	+\$2,600 better	-61% volatility

**Analysis:** The hedge reduced volatility by 61% and maximum drawdown by 80%, but at a cost of 57% of potential profits.

## Discussion

The findings confirm that hedge-based FX trading reduces downside exposure and stabilizes performance metrics. While hedging may slightly reduce maximum profit potential, the trade-off improves capital preservation.

The use of structured trading journals proved instrumental in:

1. Identifying recurring risk patterns
2. Quantifying drawdown behavior
3. Improving strategic discipline

The study demonstrates that hedge effectiveness is significantly enhanced when supported by rigorous journaling and data-driven evaluation.

## **Implications**

### **Practical Implications**

- i. Traders should maintain structured journals for performance evaluation.
- ii. Hedge strategies should be systematically tested and monitored.
- iii. Risk minimization should prioritize capital preservation over aggressive return maximization.

### **Institutional Implications**

- i. Proprietary trading firms can incorporate journal-based analytics into risk governance frameworks.
- ii. Algorithmic hedge systems can be optimized using historical journal data.

### **Limitations**

- i. The case study approach limits generalizability.
- ii. Sample size may influence statistical robustness.
- iii. Market regime changes may affect hedge performance outcomes.

Future research may incorporate larger datasets and algorithmic back-testing frameworks.

## **Conclusion**

This study demonstrates that FX hedge trading effectively minimizes risk when implemented with structured discipline and supported by detailed trading journals. Empirical evidence from selected trade records indicates lower drawdown, reduced volatility, and improved equity curve stability in hedged strategies compared to unhedged approaches. Risk minimization in FX trading is not solely dependent on hedging instruments but also on systematic documentation, evaluation, and strategic consistency.

## **Recommendation**

It is highly recommended that traders should adopt hedged trading strategy in order to curb different types of risks in trade.

## **References**

- Bollerslev, T. (1986). Generalized autoregressive conditional heteroskedasticity, *Journal of Econometrics*.
- Engle, R. (1982). *Autoregressive conditional heteroskedasticity*, *Econometrica*.
- Hull, J. (2018). *Options, futures, and other derivatives*, Pearson.