

The Future of Government Human Resource Management in an AI-Driven Era and its Implications for Employee Performance

¹**Bassey Ekpenyong Anam** & ²**Enomfon Philip Akpan**

¹*Institute of Public Policy and Administration, University of Calabar*

²*Department of Public Administration, University of Calabar, Calabar*

Article DOI: 10.48028/iiprds/ijsrsmss.v9.i1.16

Abstract

The rapid advancement of Artificial Intelligence (AI) is transforming human resource management practices into government institutions, particularly in the areas of recruitment, training, performance appraisal, workforce planning, and service delivery. This study examines the future of government human resource (HR) management in an AI-driven era, with a focus on its implications for employee performance and public sector productivity. Governments globally are increasingly adopting AI technologies to enhance decision-making, improve efficiency, and strengthen public service delivery. Within the HR function, AI is being used to automate administrative tasks, support data-driven performance management, and improve employee engagement. However, the integration of AI into public sector HR also raises concerns regarding job displacement, algorithmic bias, ethical governance, privacy, and accountability in employee evaluation systems. The study adopts a descriptive approach based on secondary sources, including scholarly literature, policy documents, and reports on digital governance. Findings suggest that while AI can significantly enhance employee performance and organisational efficiency, its effectiveness depends on strong ethical frameworks, human oversight, and capacity building. The study concludes that the future of government HR will be a hybrid system where AI supports, not replaces, human judgement in managing workforce performance and public administration.

Keywords: *Artificial Intelligence, Human resource management, Employee performance, Public administration, Workforce productivity, Digital governance*

Corresponding Author:

Bassey Ekpenyong Anam

Background to the Study

Artificial Intelligence (AI) refers to computer systems and technologies designed to perform tasks that typically require human intelligence, such as learning, reasoning, problem-solving, decision-making, and pattern recognition. In recent years, AI has emerged as a transformative force across various sectors, including healthcare, education, finance, and public administration. Its growing adoption has significantly influenced organizational processes, workforce management, and service delivery. Human Resource Management (HRM) in government involves the planning, recruitment, development, motivation, and retention of employees responsible for implementing public policies and delivering essential services. As governments strive to improve efficiency, accountability, and productivity, AI technologies are increasingly being integrated into human resource functions. These technologies are being used for recruitment and selection, performance appraisal, workforce planning, employee training, talent management, and administrative automation.

The future of government human resource management is expected to be shaped by AI-driven innovations that enhance decision-making, reduce administrative burdens, and improve employee effectiveness. AI can help public institutions identify skill gaps, personalize training programs, predict workforce needs, and provide data-driven insights for managing employee performance. However, the increasing reliance on AI also raises concerns regarding privacy, bias, transparency, job displacement, and the need for human oversight in personnel decisions. As governments continue to embrace digital transformation, understanding the implications of AI on human resource management and employee performance becomes increasingly important. This study examines how AI is reshaping government HRM practices, explores its potential benefits and challenges, and assesses its impact on employee performance in the public sector.

Objective of the Study

The primary objective of this study is to examine the future of government human resource management in an AI-driven era and its implications for employee performance in the public sector.

Conceptual Review

Artificial Intelligence (AI)

Artificial Intelligence (AI) refers to the capability of machines and computer systems to perform tasks that normally require human intelligence, including learning, reasoning, decision-making, language processing, and problem-solving. According to Russell and Norvig (2021), AI is the study and design of intelligent agents that perceive their environment and take actions that maximize the achievement of specified goals. Recent advancements in AI have led to the development of technologies such as Machine Learning (ML), Natural Language Processing (NLP), Deep Learning, Computer Vision, Robotic Process Automation (RPA), and Generative AI. These technologies are increasingly transforming organizational operations, including human resource management functions in both private and public sectors. The emergence of Generative AI tools such as ChatGPT, Gemini, and Microsoft Copilot has further accelerated workplace transformation by automating administrative tasks,

enhancing knowledge management, and supporting decision-making processes (Brynjolfsson, Li & Raymond, 2023).

Government Human Resource Management

Government Human Resource Management (GHRM) refers to the systematic process of recruiting, developing, motivating, evaluating, and retaining employees within public sector organizations. According to Armstrong and Taylor (2023), HRM encompasses policies and practices designed to maximize employee performance while achieving organizational objectives. In government institutions, HRM plays a critical role in ensuring efficient public service delivery through effective workforce planning, performance management, employee development, succession planning, and employee welfare administration. Digital transformation and the adoption of AI technologies are reshaping traditional government HRM by introducing data-driven decision-making, predictive workforce analytics, automated recruitment systems, and intelligent performance management frameworks.

AI-Driven Human Resource Management

AI-driven Human Resource Management refers to the application of AI technologies to automate, support, or enhance HR functions. According to Vrontis et al. (2022), AI-driven HRM enables organizations to improve efficiency, accuracy, and strategic decision-making through intelligent data analysis and automation. Within government organizations, AI applications in HRM include:

1. Automated recruitment and candidate screening.
2. Workforce analytics and forecasting.
3. Employee performance evaluation.
4. Personalized learning and development.
5. Employee engagement monitoring.
6. Talent management and succession planning.
7. Payroll and administrative automation.

The integration of AI into government HRM represents a shift from traditional personnel administration to strategic, data-driven workforce management.

Employee Performance

Employee performance refers to the degree to which employees effectively execute assigned duties and contribute to organizational objectives. According to Aguinis (2023), employee performance encompasses both task performance and contextual performance, including innovation, teamwork, and organizational citizenship behavior. Employee performance in government organizations is influenced by factors such as employee competence, motivation, leadership, technology adoption, organizational culture, and work environment. AI technologies have the potential to improve employee performance by reducing repetitive tasks, enhancing decision-making, facilitating continuous learning, and providing real-time feedback mechanisms.

The Future of Government Human Resource Management in an AI-Driven Era and Its Implications for Employee Performance

The increasing adoption of Artificial Intelligence (AI) technologies is fundamentally reshaping the future of human resource management (HRM) across organizations worldwide. In the public sector, AI is emerging as a transformative tool that has the potential to revolutionize government human resource management by improving workforce planning, recruitment, employee development, performance management, and service delivery. As governments continue to pursue digital transformation initiatives, AI is expected to play an increasingly central role in enhancing administrative efficiency, optimizing workforce capabilities, and improving employee performance.

Government human resource management has traditionally relied on bureaucratic structures, manual procedures, and standardized personnel practices. Recruitment processes often involve extensive paperwork, performance evaluations are frequently conducted through periodic assessments, and workforce planning is largely dependent on historical data and managerial judgment. However, the rapid advancement of AI technologies is challenging these conventional approaches by introducing intelligent systems capable of processing large volumes of data, identifying patterns, predicting workforce needs, and supporting evidence-based decision-making (Armstrong & Taylor, 2023).

One of the most significant ways AI is transforming government HRM is through recruitment and talent acquisition. Public sector organizations often face challenges related to lengthy recruitment processes, skills shortages, and difficulties in identifying qualified candidates. AI-powered recruitment systems can automate candidate screening, analyze resumes, assess competencies, and predict job suitability with greater speed and efficiency than traditional methods. According to Vrontis et al. (2022), AI-driven recruitment tools can significantly reduce hiring time and administrative costs while improving the quality of candidate selection. As governments increasingly compete for highly skilled professionals in areas such as information technology, cybersecurity, healthcare, and public administration, AI is expected to become an indispensable tool for attracting and retaining talent.

The future of government HRM is also likely to be characterized by the widespread use of workforce analytics and predictive modeling. AI systems can analyze employee data to forecast workforce trends, identify potential skill shortages, predict employee turnover, and support succession planning. These capabilities enable government agencies to make proactive decisions regarding staffing requirements and workforce development. The Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD, 2024) notes that AI-driven workforce analytics can enhance strategic human resource planning by providing accurate and timely insights into workforce dynamics. As governments face increasing pressure to improve efficiency while managing limited resources, predictive workforce management is expected to become a critical component of future HR strategies.

Another important area of transformation is employee learning and development. The rapid pace of technological change is creating demand for new skills and competencies across the

public sector. Traditional training programs often struggle to meet the diverse learning needs of employees or keep pace with evolving workplace requirements. AI-powered learning platforms can address these challenges by providing personalized training experiences based on individual employee needs, performance levels, and career aspirations. Through adaptive learning technologies, employees can access tailored development programs that improve their competencies and enhance their ability to perform effectively in increasingly digital work environments. According to the World Economic Forum (2025), continuous reskilling and upskilling will become essential for public sector employees as AI increasingly alters job roles and work processes.

Performance management is another HR function expected to undergo substantial transformation in the AI-driven era. Traditional performance appraisal systems have often been criticized for being subjective, infrequent, and prone to evaluator bias. AI-powered performance management systems offer opportunities for continuous performance monitoring, real-time feedback, and data-driven evaluation. Such systems can analyze employee productivity, work quality, goal attainment, and behavioral indicators to provide more objective assessments of performance. Minbaeva (2023) argues that AI-enhanced performance management can improve employee productivity by providing timely feedback, identifying development needs, and supporting evidence-based decision-making. Consequently, government organizations may achieve higher levels of workforce effectiveness and accountability through the integration of AI into performance management processes.

Furthermore, AI is expected to improve employee engagement and workplace satisfaction within government institutions. Employee engagement has become an important determinant of organizational performance, service quality, and employee retention. AI technologies such as sentiment analysis, employee engagement platforms, and intelligent communication systems can help HR managers understand employee attitudes, identify workplace concerns, and implement targeted interventions. By analyzing employee feedback, communication patterns, and workplace interactions, AI systems can provide valuable insights into organizational culture and employee well-being. Improved engagement can lead to increased motivation, stronger commitment, and higher levels of performance among public sector employees.

The implications of AI for employee performance are particularly significant. Employee performance is generally understood as the extent to which employees successfully perform their assigned duties and contribute to organizational objectives. AI technologies can enhance employee performance by reducing routine administrative tasks, improving access to information, facilitating faster decision-making, and supporting continuous learning. Employees can devote more time to strategic, analytical, and problem-solving activities while AI handles repetitive and time-consuming functions. As a result, workforce productivity and efficiency may improve substantially. However, the relationship between AI adoption and employee performance is not entirely positive. Several scholars have raised concerns regarding the potential negative consequences of AI integration within workplaces. One major concern relates to job displacement and workforce restructuring. As AI automates routine tasks,

certain administrative roles may become redundant, leading to uncertainty among employees. Although AI is more likely to augment rather than replace human labor in many public sector contexts, concerns about job security may affect employee morale, motivation, and performance (Dwivedi et al., 2023).

Another challenge involves algorithmic bias and fairness in HR decisions. AI systems rely heavily on data for training and decision-making. If the underlying data contains historical biases or discriminatory patterns, AI systems may inadvertently reproduce or amplify these biases. In recruitment, promotion, or performance evaluation processes, biased algorithms may result in unfair treatment of employees or job applicants. Such outcomes can undermine employee trust, reduce workplace morale, and negatively affect organizational performance. Privacy and workplace surveillance also represent significant concerns in the future of AI-driven government HRM. AI systems often require access to large amounts of employee data, including performance metrics, communication records, behavioral patterns, and personal information. While such data can improve workforce management, excessive monitoring may create perceptions of surveillance and reduce employee autonomy. Employees who perceive AI systems as intrusive may experience increased stress and reduced job satisfaction, which can negatively impact performance outcomes.

Ethical governance will therefore play a critical role in determining the success of AI adoption in government HRM. Scholars increasingly emphasize that AI should function as a decision-support tool rather than a replacement for human judgment. Human oversight remains essential in areas such as recruitment, promotion, disciplinary actions, and performance evaluation to ensure fairness, accountability, and transparency. Governments must establish ethical frameworks, legal safeguards, and governance mechanisms that protect employee rights while enabling organizations to benefit from technological innovation.

The future of government human resource management is likely to be characterized by a hybrid workforce model in which AI systems and human employees work collaboratively. Rather than replacing HR professionals, AI will enhance their ability to perform strategic functions by automating routine administrative tasks and providing valuable workforce insights. HR managers will increasingly assume roles as strategic partners responsible for managing organizational change, employee development, ethical governance, and workforce innovation. In conclusion, the future of government human resource management in an AI-driven era presents both significant opportunities and important challenges. AI has the potential to improve recruitment efficiency, workforce planning, employee development, performance management, and employee engagement, thereby enhancing overall employee performance and organizational effectiveness. However, realizing these benefits requires governments to address concerns related to ethics, transparency, privacy, accountability, and workforce adaptation. The literature suggests that the most successful government HR systems will be those that effectively combine technological innovation with human-centered management practices, ensuring that AI serves as a tool for empowering employees and improving public sector performance rather than replacing human judgment and expertise.

Theoretical Review

Socio-Technical Systems Theory

Socio-Technical Systems Theory (STS) was developed by Trist and Emery (1960) at the Tavistock Institute of Human Relations. The theory emphasizes that organizations are composed of two interrelated subsystems: the technical system and the social system. The technical system includes tools, technologies, equipment, and work processes, while the social system comprises employees, organizational culture, management practices, values, and interpersonal relationships. According to the theory, organizational effectiveness can only be achieved when both systems are properly aligned and optimized. The central argument of the theory is that technological innovations alone cannot guarantee improved organizational performance. Instead, successful implementation depends on how technology interacts with human resources and organizational structures. In other words, organizations must design technological systems in a way that complements human capabilities, work practices, and institutional objectives. The relevance of this theory to the present study lies in its explanation of how Artificial Intelligence (AI) can be successfully integrated into government human resource management. As governments increasingly adopt AI technologies for recruitment, employee training, performance appraisal, workforce planning, and administrative automation, the effectiveness of these technologies depends not only on their technical capabilities but also on the willingness and ability of employees to use them effectively.

For example, AI-powered recruitment systems may improve efficiency by screening large volumes of applications, but their effectiveness depends on whether HR professionals trust the system, understand its recommendations, and ensure that recruitment decisions remain fair and unbiased. Similarly, AI-based performance management systems may generate valuable workforce insights, but employees may resist their use if they perceive them as intrusive or unfair. The theory further highlights the importance of organizational culture, employee participation, leadership support, and ethical governance in the adoption of AI. Government institutions often operate within complex bureaucratic structures where transparency, accountability, and public trust are critical. Therefore, the successful deployment of AI in government HRM requires balancing technological innovation with human values and institutional norms.

In relation to employee performance, the theory suggests that AI can enhance productivity, efficiency, and decision-making only when employees are adequately trained, motivated, and supported. A mismatch between AI technologies and employee needs may result in resistance to change, reduced job satisfaction, and lower performance outcomes. Consequently, Socio-Technical Systems Theory provides a comprehensive framework for understanding how government organizations can leverage AI while maintaining employee engagement, organizational effectiveness, and ethical standards.

Human Capital Theory

Human Capital Theory was developed by Becker (1964) and later expanded by other scholars who argued that employees possess valuable knowledge, skills, competencies, and experiences that contribute significantly to organizational performance and economic growth. The theory

views employees as assets whose value can be enhanced through investment in education, training, skill development, and professional experience. According to Human Capital Theory, organizations achieve higher levels of productivity and performance when they invest in developing the capabilities of their workforce. Employees are not merely operational resources but strategic assets whose competencies determine organizational success. Consequently, continuous learning and workforce development are considered essential for maintaining competitiveness and effectiveness.

The relevance of Human Capital Theory to this study is evident in the increasing role of AI in enhancing workforce development and employee performance within government institutions. As AI technologies become integrated into HRM functions, new skill requirements emerge, creating a need for continuous employee learning and adaptation. Government employees must acquire digital competencies, data literacy skills, and the ability to collaborate effectively with AI systems. AI-driven HRM supports human capital development in several ways. First, AI-powered workforce analytics can identify employee skill gaps and predict future competency requirements. Second, intelligent learning platforms can provide personalized training programs tailored to individual employee needs and career goals. Third, AI-based performance management systems can offer continuous feedback, enabling employees to improve their performance and professional development. The theory also explains how AI can contribute to improved employee performance by reducing routine administrative tasks and allowing employees to focus on higher-value activities that require creativity, problem-solving, and critical thinking. Through automation and intelligent decision support, AI can enhance employee productivity while creating opportunities for workforce innovation and capacity development.

However, Human Capital Theory also underscores the risks associated with inadequate investment in employee development. If governments adopt AI technologies without providing adequate training and reskilling opportunities, employees may struggle to adapt to technological changes, resulting in reduced productivity, job insecurity, and resistance to innovation. Therefore, the benefits of AI in government HRM can only be fully realized when governments invest strategically in developing the skills and competencies of their workforce. Human Capital Theory provides a valuable framework for understanding how AI-driven HRM practices can enhance employee performance through continuous learning, competency development, workforce optimization, and strategic talent management. The theory reinforces the idea that the future of government human resource management depends not only on technological advancement but also on the ability of public institutions to develop and empower their human resources.

Empirical Review

Several contemporary studies have examined the relationship between AI adoption, HRM practices, and employee performance. Vrontis et al. (2022) found that AI-enabled HR systems significantly improve recruitment efficiency, employee engagement, and workforce productivity. Their study demonstrated that organizations adopting AI-based HR tools achieved better talent management outcomes than those relying solely on traditional HR

approaches. Bibi et al. (2024) reported that predictive analytics and machine learning applications in HR departments improve employee performance by enabling evidence-based workforce decisions and personalized employee development initiatives. A study by Minbaeva (2023) revealed that AI-assisted performance management systems provide more accurate employee evaluations and facilitate continuous feedback, resulting in improved employee motivation and productivity.

According to the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD, 2024), governments worldwide are increasingly deploying AI-driven workforce analytics to enhance public-sector efficiency, workforce planning, and employee performance management. Research conducted by the World Economic Forum (2025) indicates that while AI adoption may automate routine administrative tasks, it is more likely to augment employee capabilities than replace workers entirely. Employees who develop AI-related competencies tend to demonstrate higher productivity and adaptability. Similarly, Dwivedi et al. (2023) found that Generative AI applications enhance knowledge sharing, reduce administrative burdens, and improve employee effectiveness. However, the study warned that inadequate governance may create concerns relating to privacy, algorithmic bias, and workplace surveillance. The reviewed literature demonstrates that AI is rapidly transforming government human resource management practices. Traditional HR functions that were once labor-intensive are increasingly becoming automated and data driven. AI-powered recruitment systems can screen thousands of applications efficiently, reducing hiring time and improving candidate selection quality. Predictive workforce analytics enable governments to forecast staffing requirements and identify future skill shortages.

Furthermore, AI-supported learning platforms facilitate continuous employee development through personalized training programs. Performance management systems enhanced by AI provide objective performance assessments and real-time feedback, which contribute to higher employee productivity. Despite these benefits, concerns remain regarding ethical issues such as algorithmic bias, privacy violations, employee surveillance, transparency, and accountability. Literature consistently emphasizes the importance of maintaining human oversight in AI-driven HR decisions. The evidence suggests that AI should be viewed as a complementary tool that enhances human resource management rather than replacing human judgment entirely.

Although numerous studies have examined AI adoption in private-sector HRM, relatively limited research focuses specifically on government human resource management and its implications for employee performance, particularly in developing countries. Most existing studies emphasize technological efficiency while giving less attention to employee experience, organizational culture, ethical considerations, and public-sector realities. This study seeks to bridge this gap by examining the future of government HRM in an AI-driven era and assessing its implications for employee performance within public sector institutions. For governments to fully realize the benefits of Artificial Intelligence in human resource management, AI adoption must be guided by principles of fairness, transparency, accountability, inclusiveness, and human-centered governance. The most effective public sector organizations in the future

will be those that strategically integrate AI technologies while maintaining strong human oversight, protecting employee welfare, and fostering a culture of continuous learning and innovation. Through such a balanced approach, AI can become a powerful catalyst for enhanced employee performance, improved public service delivery, and sustainable governmental effectiveness.

Conclusion

The emergence of Artificial Intelligence (AI) is reshaping the future of government human resource management by introducing innovative approaches to workforce planning, recruitment, training, performance management, and public service delivery. As governments seek to improve efficiency, accountability, and responsiveness, AI technologies offer significant opportunities to modernize HR functions and enhance employee performance through data-driven decision-making, automation of routine tasks, and personalized employee development initiatives. This study reveals that AI has the potential to improve public sector productivity by reducing administrative burdens, enhancing workforce analytics, facilitating objective performance evaluations, and supporting strategic human resource planning. AI-driven systems can enable government institutions to make more informed decisions, optimize resource allocation, and improve the quality of public service delivery. Furthermore, AI technologies can contribute to employee effectiveness by providing continuous learning opportunities, real-time feedback, and improved access to information and organizational resources.

However, the study also highlights several challenges associated with AI adoption in government HRM. Issues such as algorithmic bias, privacy concerns, data security risks, lack of transparency, ethical dilemmas, and fears of job displacement may undermine the successful implementation of AI if not properly addressed. The findings suggest that technology alone cannot guarantee improved employee performance; rather, its effectiveness depends on the existence of appropriate legal frameworks, ethical standards, institutional capacity, and employee readiness. The study therefore concludes that the future of government human resource management will not be characterized by the replacement of human resource professionals and public servants by AI systems. Instead, it will be defined by a collaborative and hybrid model in which AI complements human expertise, supports managerial decision-making, and enhances workforce performance while preserving human judgment, accountability, and ethical responsibility. Governments that successfully balance technological innovation with human-centered management practices will be better positioned to achieve sustainable public sector performance and effective governance in the AI-driven era.

Recommendations

Based on the findings of this study, the following recommendations are proposed:

1. Develop Comprehensive AI Policies for Government HRM

Governments should formulate clear policies and strategic frameworks to guide the adoption and application of AI in human resource management. These policies should define standards for ethical AI use, employee rights, accountability, and transparency.

2. Maintain Human Oversight in HR Decisions

AI systems should serve as decision-support tools rather than autonomous decision-makers. Critical HR functions such as recruitment, promotion, disciplinary actions, and performance evaluation should remain subject to human review and approval to ensure fairness and accountability.

3. Invest in Capacity Building and Digital Skills Development

Government institutions should provide continuous training and reskilling opportunities to equip HR professionals and public servants with the knowledge and competencies required to work effectively with AI technologies. AI literacy should become an integral part of workforce development programs.

4. Strengthen Ethical and Legal Frameworks

Governments should establish robust legal and regulatory mechanisms to address issues relating to data privacy, cybersecurity, algorithmic bias, discrimination, and accountability. Regular audits should be conducted to ensure that AI systems comply with ethical standards and public sector values.

5. Promote Fair and Transparent AI Systems

AI applications used in HR functions should be transparent, explainable, and regularly monitored to minimize bias and ensure equitable treatment of employees. Public institutions should adopt mechanisms that allow employees to challenge or appeal AI-assisted decisions where necessary.

6. Enhance Data Management and Cybersecurity

Since AI systems depend heavily on data, governments should invest in secure digital infrastructure, data governance frameworks, and cybersecurity measures to protect employee information from unauthorized access, misuse, or cyberattacks.

7. Encourage Employee Participation in AI Adoption

Employees should be actively involved in the design, implementation, and evaluation of AI initiatives within government organizations. Such participation can reduce resistance to change, improve trust in AI systems, and promote successful technology adoption.

8. Focus on AI-Augmented Rather Than AI-Replacement Strategies

Government agencies should adopt AI primarily to augment employee capabilities and improve work processes rather than to eliminate jobs. This approach will help maximize productivity gains while preserving workforce stability and institutional knowledge.

9. Invest in AI-Driven Learning and Performance Management Systems

Governments should leverage AI technologies to support personalized training, competency assessment, career development, and continuous performance feedback, thereby enhancing employee productivity and professional growth.

10. Establish Monitoring and Evaluation Mechanisms

Regular assessment of AI applications in government HRM should be conducted to evaluate their impact on employee performance, organizational efficiency, and service delivery outcomes. Findings from such evaluations should inform continuous improvement and policy adjustments.

References

- Aguinis, H. (2023). *Performance management* (5th ed.), Chicago Business Press.
- Armstrong, M., & Taylor, S. (2023). *Armstrong's handbook of human resource management practice* (16th ed.). Kogan Page.
- Barney, J. B. (1991). Firm resources and sustained competitive advantage, *Journal of Management*, 17(1), 99–120. <https://doi.org/10.1177/014920639101700108>
- Becker, G. S. (1993). *Human capital: A theoretical and empirical analysis, with special reference to education* (3rd ed.), University of Chicago Press.
- Brynjolfsson, E., Li, D., & Raymond, L. (2023). *Generative AI at work*, National Bureau of Economic Research Working Paper Series.
- Dwivedi, Y. K., Kshetri, N., Hughes, L., Slade, E. L., Jeyaraj, A., Kar, A. K., Baabdullah, A. M., Koohang, A., Raghavan, V., Ahuja, M., Albanna, H., Albashrawi, M., Al-Busaidi, A. S., Balakrishnan, J., Barlette, Y., Basu, S., Bose, I., Brooks, L., Buhalis, D., Wright, R. (2023). So what if ChatGPT wrote it? Multidisciplinary perspectives on opportunities, challenges, and implications of generative conversational AI for research, practice, and policy, *International Journal of Information Management*, 71, 102642. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2023.102642>
- Emery, F. E., & Trist, E. L. (1960). Socio-technical systems. In C. W. Churchman & M. Verhulst (Eds.), *Management sciences, models and techniques* (2, pp. 83–97). Pergamon Press.
- McLuhan, M. (1964). *Understanding media: The extensions of man*, McGraw-Hill.
- Minbaeva, D. (2023). The role of artificial intelligence in human resource management, *Human Resource Management Review*, 33(4), 100931.
- Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD). (2024). *Artificial intelligence in public employment and human resource management*, OECD Publishing.
- Russell, S., & Norvig, P. (2021). *Artificial intelligence: A modern approach* (4th ed.), Pearson Education.

Schwab, K. (2017). *The fourth industrial revolution*, Crown Business.

Trist, E. L., & Emery, F. E. (1960). The socio-technical perspective, *Human Relations*, 13(1), 3–30.

Vrontis, D., Christofi, M., Pereira, V., Tarba, S., Makrides, A., & Trichina, E. (2022). Artificial intelligence, robotics, advanced technologies, and human resource management: A systematic review, *The International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 33(6), 1237–1266. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09585192.2020.1871398>

World Economic Forum. (2025). *The future of jobs report 2025*, World Economic Forum.

World Bank. (2023). *Digital government and public sector transformation in the age of artificial intelligence*, World Bank Publications.