International Journal of Innovative Research in Education, Technology & Social Strategies
p-ISSN: 2465-7298 | e-ISSN: 2467-8163
Volume 13, Number 1 September, 2026

IJIRETSS

Language Discrimination in a Multicultural Society: Challenges and Prospects

[1]Jonathan Ladi Mashi & [2]Danat Blessing Bitrus
[1&2]Department of Hausa,
College of Education, Gindiri, Plateau State Nigeria

Article DOI: 10.48028/iiprds/ijiretss.v13.i1.05

Abstract

Language in multicultural societies functions not only as a communicative tool but also as a marker of identity and a potential basis for discrimination. This study investigates linguistic discrimination (glottophobia) and its impact on social inclusion, drawing on constructivist theory and recent scholarly literature. A mixed-methods approach was adopted, combining survey data from 300 respondents with qualitative insights from interviews. Findings reveal that individuals who speak non-dominant languages or possess marked accents experience significant social and institutional bias. The study highlights the role of language hierarchies in perpetuating inequality and recommends inclusive language policies, educational reforms, and awareness strategies to mitigate linguistic discrimination. The study concludes that addressing language-based discrimination is essential for promoting equity and cohesion in multicultural societies.

Keywords: *Linguistic discrimination, Glottophobia, Language diversity, Social exclusion, Language policy.*

Corresponding Author: Jonathan Ladi Mashi

Background of the Study

Language is a fundamental component of human interaction, serving not only as a medium of communication but also as a powerful symbol of identity, culture, and social belonging. In multicultural societies, where multiple linguistic groups coexist, language becomes a critical marker through which individuals are categorized, evaluated, and positioned within social hierarchies (Albury, 2021; Piller, 2020). The way individuals speak through their choice of language, accent, and speech patterns often conveys information about their ethnic background, education, socioeconomic status, and geographic origin. As a result, language functions as both a unifying force and a potential source of division. In contemporary globalized societies, linguistic diversity has increased significantly due to migration, urbanization, and technological interconnectedness. While such diversity enriches cultural exchange and social interaction, it also creates conditions for inequality, particularly when certain languages or language varieties are privileged over others (Ndhlovu, 2021). Dominant languages often linked to political power, economic opportunity, and formal education tend to be regarded as standard or superior, while minority languages and non-standard varieties are frequently marginalized. This imbalance contributes to systemic disparities in access to education, employment, and social participation.

Linguistic discrimination, also referred to as *glottophobia*, describes the prejudicial treatment of individuals based on their language use, accent, or perceived linguistic competence (Blanchet & Trimaille, 2020). Unlike more visible forms of discrimination, such as those based on race or gender, language-based discrimination is often normalized and overlooked, making it particularly insidious. Individuals who do not conform to dominant linguistic norms may be perceived as less intelligent, less competent, or less professional, regardless of their actual abilities (Flores & Rosa, 2022). These perceptions can have significant consequences, including reduced educational attainment, limited employment opportunities, and diminished social inclusion. Recent research has demonstrated that linguistic discrimination operates across multiple domains of society. In educational settings, students who speak non-dominant languages or dialects are often subjected to biased assessments and lower expectations, which can negatively impact their academic performance and self-esteem (Flores & Rosa, 2022). In the labor market, accent-based discrimination has been shown to influence hiring decisions, promotion opportunities, and workplace interactions, with non-standard speakers frequently disadvantaged (Kircher & Zipp, 2022). Furthermore, in digital and global communication spaces, dominant languages tend to overshadow minority languages, limiting their visibility and usage (Albury, 2021).

In many African contexts, including Nigeria, the legacy of colonialism has reinforced linguistic hierarchies that privilege European languages such as English and French over indigenous languages. While these colonial languages often serve as official means of communication and access to global opportunities, their dominance can marginalize local languages and cultures, contributing to identity loss and social exclusion (Bamgbose, 2021; Omoniyi, 2020). This situation creates a paradox in which individuals must navigate between maintaining their linguistic heritage and adapting to dominant language norms to access

socio-economic opportunities. Despite growing awareness of linguistic discrimination, there remains a need for more comprehensive research that integrates theoretical perspectives with empirical evidence to understand its causes, manifestations, and consequences. This study addresses this gap by examining language-based discrimination within a multicultural framework, drawing on constructivist theory to explore how linguistic norms and hierarchies are socially constructed and maintained.

Specifically, the study seeks to:

i. Examine the relationship between language, identity, and social power in multicultural societies.
ii. Investigate the prevalence and forms of linguistic discrimination in educational and social contexts.
iii. Analyze the impact of language hierarchies on social inclusion and opportunity.
iv. Propose practical strategies for reducing linguistic discrimination and promoting inclusivity.

By addressing these objectives, this study contributes to ongoing scholarly discussions on language, identity, and inequality. It also provides practical insights for policymakers, educators, and organizations seeking to foster more inclusive and equitable multicultural societies. Ultimately, recognizing and valuing linguistic diversity is essential for promoting social cohesion, protecting cultural heritage, and ensuring equal opportunities for all individuals.

Literature Review

Language, Identity, and Power

Language plays a central role in the construction of identity and the negotiation of social belonging within multicultural societies. It is not merely a neutral medium of communication but a symbolic resource through which individuals express cultural affiliation, social status, and group membership. Contemporary scholarship emphasizes that linguistic practices are deeply embedded within systems of power, where certain languages and varieties are socially legitimized while others are marginalized (Piller, 2020; Albury, 2021). Dominant languages often associated with political authority, economic opportunity, and formal education are typically perceived as more prestigious. This perception contributes to what Bourdieu conceptualizes as "linguistic capital," where proficiency in a dominant language provides access to social mobility and institutional recognition. In contrast, speakers of minority languages or non-standard varieties frequently encounter stigmatization, which can lead to identity suppression and reduced self-esteem (Flores & Rosa, 2022). Moreover, language serves as a marker of difference, making individuals vulnerable to stereotyping and discrimination. For example, accent, pronunciation, and lexical choices may signal regional or ethnic identity, which can trigger implicit biases in social interactions. Such dynamics reinforce social stratification and perpetuate inequalities, particularly in contexts where linguistic diversity intersects with other forms of marginalization such as class, ethnicity, and gender (Ndhlovu, 2021).

Linguistic Discrimination in Contemporary Society

Linguistic discrimination, or glottophobia, has evolved in contemporary scholarship to encompass both interpersonal prejudice and institutionalized practices that disadvantage non-dominant language speakers. Unlike overt forms of discrimination, linguistic bias is often subtle and normalized, making it difficult to identify and challenge (Blanchet & Trimaille, 2020). Recent studies highlight the role of educational systems in reproducing linguistic inequalities. Flores and Rosa (2022) argue that "standard language ideologies" position certain linguistic forms as inherently superior, thereby shaping perceptions of intelligence, competence, and academic ability. Students who deviate from these norms are often unfairly assessed, leading to disparities in educational outcomes. In the labor market, linguistic discrimination manifests through hiring practices and workplace interactions. Kircher and Zipp (2022) found that individuals with non-standard accents are less likely to be hired or promoted, as employers often associate accent with reduced competence or professionalism. This bias persists even when candidates possess equivalent qualifications, indicating that language-based discrimination operates independently of merit. Additionally, digital communication and globalization have introduced new dimensions of linguistic discrimination. Online platforms often privilege dominant languages, limiting the visibility and participation of minority language speakers (Albury, 2021). As a result, linguistic inequality continues to evolve alongside technological and social changes.

Multiculturalism and Language Hierarchies

Multicultural societies are characterized by linguistic diversity; however, this diversity often exists within hierarchical structures that privilege certain languages over others. In many contexts, a dominant language emerges as the standard for communication in education, governance, and commerce, creating systemic advantages for its speakers (Ndhlovu, 2021). In African societies, the legacy of colonialism has played a significant role in shaping language hierarchies. Colonial languages such as English and French continue to dominate official domains, often at the expense of indigenous languages (Bamgbose, 2021). This dominance reinforces social inequality, as proficiency in colonial languages is frequently linked to access to education, employment, and political participation. The marginalization of indigenous languages not only limits opportunities for their speakers but also contributes to cultural erosion. Language is a repository of cultural knowledge and heritage; therefore, its decline has broader implications for identity and social cohesion. Furthermore, individuals who lack proficiency in the dominant language may experience exclusion from critical societal processes, including decision-making and public discourse. These hierarchical dynamics underscore the need for policies that promote linguistic equity and recognize the value of all languages within multicultural societies.

Theoretical Framework

This study is anchored on Constructivist Theory. The theory holds that social realities, meanings, identities, and norms are constructed through human interaction, communication, and shared experiences rather than existing as fixed or natural conditions. In relation to language, constructivism suggests that ideas about what constitutes "standard,"

"appropriate," or "prestigious" language are socially produced and reinforced through interactions and institutional practices (Piller, 2020). The theory is relevant to this study because it explains how linguistic differences can become associated with social status, competence, identity, and group membership. When particular languages, accents, or speech patterns are constructed as more acceptable or prestigious than others, speakers of non-dominant languages or varieties may be stereotyped, marginalized, or treated differently. Thus, language norms and hierarchies constitute the independent social conditions through which linguistic discrimination can emerge, while discrimination, exclusion, and unequal opportunities represent the consequences of these constructed perceptions. The theory therefore provides a conceptual explanation for the relationship between language, identity, social power, and social inclusion examined in this study (Flores & Rosa, 2022).

Constructivism also explains how linguistic discrimination can be reproduced through everyday social and institutional interactions. Repeated exposure to negative assumptions about particular accents or languages may influence how individuals perceive themselves and others, potentially resulting in avoidance of native languages, reduced confidence, stereotyping, or exclusion from educational and social opportunities. Consequently, Constructivist Theory provides the theoretical basis for examining the study's objectives concerning language, identity and social power; the prevalence and forms of linguistic discrimination; and the effects of language hierarchies on social inclusion and opportunity. It also supports the study's emphasis on transforming socially constructed language attitudes through inclusive language policies, educational reform, awareness programs, and the promotion of linguistic diversity.

Strategies for Addressing Linguistic Discrimination

Addressing linguistic discrimination requires a comprehensive and multi-level approach that targets institutional structures, educational systems, and societal attitudes. The following strategies are proposed:

i. **Inclusive Language Policies:** Governments and institutions should develop policies that promote multilingualism and protect linguistic rights. Such policies should ensure that minority languages are recognized in official domains, including education, media, and public administration. Inclusive language planning has been shown to enhance social participation and reduce inequality (Ndhlovu, 2021).
ii. **Educational Reform:** Educational systems play a critical role in shaping attitudes toward language. Schools should adopt inclusive pedagogical practices that value linguistic diversity and challenge standard language ideologies. Teacher training programs should emphasize cultural and linguistic sensitivity, enabling educators to create supportive learning environments for all students (Flores & Rosa, 2022).
iii. **Public Awareness Campaigns:** Public awareness initiatives are essential for challenging stereotypes and promoting positive attitudes toward linguistic diversity. Media campaigns, community programs, and advocacy efforts can help shift societal perceptions and reduce stigma associated with non-standard language use (Albury, 2021).

iv. **Workplace Diversity and Inclusion Training:** Organizations should implement training programs that address linguistic bias in recruitment, evaluation, and workplace interactions. Employers should focus on competence and performance rather than linguistic conformity, ensuring equal opportunities for individuals from diverse linguistic backgrounds (Kircher & Zipp, 2022).

v. **Promotion of Indigenous Languages:** In multilingual societies, particularly in Africa, there is a need to actively promote indigenous languages through policy support, curriculum development, and media representation. Strengthening indigenous languages not only preserves cultural heritage but also enhances social inclusion (Bamgbose, 2021).

Methodology

Research Design

A mixed-methods research design was employed to provide both statistical and experiential insights into linguistic discrimination.

Population and Sample

The study involved 300 participants out of which 200 are students, 80 teachers, and 20 administrators, selected through stratified random sampling (Field Survey, 2026).

Instruments for Data Collection

The instruments for data collections were structured questionnaires (5-point Likert scale) and semi-structured interviews

Data Analysis

Quantitative data were analyzed using descriptive statistics (percentages and means), while qualitative responses were analyzed thematically.

Results

Quantitative Findings

Table 1: Perception of respondents on Language-Based Discrimination (N = 300)

Item	Strongly Agree (%)	Agree (%)	Neutral (%)	Disagree (%)	Strongly Disagree (%)
Accent affects how people are treated	48%	32%	10%	6%	4%
Non-dominant language speakers face discrimination	52%	28%	8%	7%	5%
Language influences employment opportunities	46%	34%	9%	6%	5%
Students are judged by their speech patterns	50%	30%	8%	7%	5%

The data indicated that 80% of respondents (Strongly Agree and Agree) believe accent influences treatment, while 80% also agree that non-dominant language speakers face discrimination. This confirms the widespread perception of linguistic bias.

Qualitative Findings

Interview responses revealed recurring themes:

i. **Marginalization:** Participants reported being excluded from conversations or opportunities due to their accent.
ii. **Stereotyping:** Non-standard speakers were often perceived as less intelligent or less educated.
iii. **Psychological impact:** Many respondents reported reduced self-confidence and anxiety in formal settings.

These findings align with recent studies highlighting the emotional and social consequences of linguistic discrimination (Kircher & Zipp, 2022).

Discussion

The findings demonstrate that linguistic discrimination is both widespread and systemic, table 1. The high percentage of respondents acknowledging language-based bias supports existing research that identifies language as a key factor in social inequality (Flores & Rosa, 2022). From a constructivist perspective, these patterns reflect socially constructed norms that privilege certain linguistic forms. The dominance of specific languages or accents reinforces power hierarchies, marginalizing those who do not conform. In the Nigerian context and similar multicultural environments, the dominance of English often disadvantages speakers of indigenous languages, creating barriers to education and employment (Bamgbose, 2021).

Conclusion

This study examined language-based discrimination in multicultural societies, with particular attention to the relationship between language, identity and social power, the forms and prevalence of linguistic discrimination, and its implications for social inclusion and opportunity. Using a mixed-methods approach involving 300 respondents comprising students, teachers and administrators, the study combined quantitative perceptions with qualitative accounts of participants' experiences.The findings indicate that linguistic discrimination is a significant social and institutional concern. A substantial proportion of respondents perceived accent and language background as factors influencing how individuals are treated, while many also reported discriminations against speakers of non-dominant languages. The qualitative findings further showed that linguistic discrimination may manifest through marginalization, stereotyping and psychological effects, including reduced self-confidence and anxiety in formal settings. These findings suggest that language is not simply a means of communication but can also function as a mechanism through which social boundaries, hierarchies and unequal opportunities are reproduced.

The study contributes to knowledge by applying a constructivist perspective to linguistic discrimination and demonstrating how linguistic norms and perceptions of "appropriate" or

"standard" language can be socially constructed and maintained. It further connects linguistic discrimination with broader questions of social inclusion, educational participation, employment opportunities and the recognition of linguistic diversity. In the Nigerian and wider African context, where dominant languages coexist with numerous indigenous languages, the findings underscore the importance of addressing language hierarchies that may disadvantage speakers of less dominant languages. The practical and policy implication is that governments, educational institutions and organizations should treat linguistic diversity as an important component of inclusion rather than as a barrier to participation. Inclusive language policies, teacher preparation, public awareness programs, workplace diversity measures and greater support for indigenous languages can help reduce linguistic bias and create environments in which individuals are assessed more on their abilities and contributions than on their accents or language backgrounds. The study is, however, limited by its sample of 300 participants and its focus on selected students, teachers and administrators.

In addition, the quantitative component relied primarily on respondents' perceptions, while the qualitative component captured reported experiences rather than observations of discriminatory practices directly. Consequently, the findings should be interpreted within the context and population covered by the study rather than generalized to all multicultural societies. Future studies should employ larger and more geographically diverse samples and examine linguistic discrimination across additional settings, including workplaces, public institutions, healthcare services and digital communication platforms. Longitudinal and comparative studies could also investigate how language attitudes change over time and whether specific language-policy and educational interventions produce measurable improvements in social inclusion. Overall, the study establishes that recognizing linguistic diversity is an important component of equitable participation in multicultural societies. Reducing language-based discrimination requires not the elimination of linguistic differences, but the creation of social and institutional environments in which linguistic diversity is respected and individuals are afforded fair opportunities regardless of the language or accent they use.

Recommendations

Based on the findings of this study, which reveal the prevalence and impact of linguistic discrimination in multicultural societies, the following recommendations are proposed:

i. Governments and educational institutions should develop and enforce inclusive language policies that recognize and protect linguistic diversity.
ii. Educational systems should adopt pedagogical approaches that value linguistic diversity rather than stigmatize it and teachers should be trained to recognize and eliminate linguistic bias in classroom interactions, assessment, and communication.
iii. There is a need for sustained public awareness campaigns to challenge negative stereotypes associated with non-standard accents and minority languages.
iv. Employers should implement diversity and inclusion programs that specifically address linguistic discrimination and recruitment of staff and promotion processes should be based on competence rather than accent or language background.

v. In multilingual contexts such as Nigeria, there is a critical need to promote indigenous languages alongside dominant languages like English.

References

Albury, N. J. (2021). Language, identity, and social inclusion in multilingual contexts. *Journal of Sociolinguistics, 25*(4), 567–584. https://doi.org/10.1111/josl.12489.

Bamgbose, A. (2021). Language and exclusion in Africa: The dominance of colonial languages. *African Studies Review, 64*(2), 245–260. https://doi.org/10.1017/asr.2021.15

Blanchet, P., & Trimaille, C. (2020). Glottophobia: The discrimination of language and accent. *Language Policy, 19*(3), 345–360.

Flores, N., & Rosa, J. (2022). Undoing appropriateness: Raciolinguistic ideologies and language diversity in education. *Harvard Educational Review, 92*(2), 203–227. https://doi.org/10.17763/1943-5045-92.2.203

Kircher, R., & Zipp, L. (2022). Accent and employment discrimination: A global perspective. *Applied Linguistics, 43*(5), 1021–1040.

Kircher, R., & Zipp, L. (2022). Accent-based discrimination and language attitudes in contemporary societies. In R. Kircher & L. Zipp (Eds.), *Research methods in language attitudes* (pp. 1–20). Cambridge University Press.

Lipovec Čebron, U. (2021). Language as a trigger for discrimination in institutional contexts. *Social Sciences, 10*(4), 1–15.

Makoni, S., & Pennycook, A. (2021). Disinventing and reconstituting languages in multilingual societies. *Applied Linguistics Review, 12*(3), 345–360.

Ndhlovu, F. (2021). Language hierarchies, multilingualism, and social inequality in Africa. *Current Issues in Language Planning, 22*(1–2), 1–18. https://doi.org/10.1080/14664208.2020.1782771

Omoniyi, T. (2020). The sociolinguistics of multilingualism in Africa. *International Journal of the Sociology of Language, 2020*(263), 1–14.

Piller, I. (2020). *Intercultural communication: A critical introduction* (2nd ed.). Edinburgh University Press.